RECLAIMING AUTONOMY: WOMEN'S RIGHTS AND GENDER EQUITY IN THE MENTAL HEALTHCARE ACT, 2017

Benzir Zaman[1]

VOLUME 1, ISSUE 2 (JULY- DECEMBER 2025)

ABSTRACT

The enactment of the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 fundamentally altered India's approach to mental health by shifting the focus from institutionalization to a patient-centred, rights-based approach. Important provisions that ensure equality, autonomy, and dignity are embedded in this legal framework, especially for women who are disproportionately affected by mental health difficulties and systemic gender discrimination. The Act's progressive stance notwithstanding, gender-sensitive policies are currently only partially and unevenly implemented in reality. This paper evaluates the Act's gender responsiveness, critically examines how it addresses women's mental health rights, and identifies policy and implementation flaws. By combining aspects of gender studies, legislation, and mental health activism, this study examines whether the Act truly restores women's autonomy or whether gender parity is still an unachievable aim in India's mental healthcare system.

Keywords: Women's Rights, Gender Equity, Mental Healthcare Act 2017, Mental Health Law, Autonomy, Feminist Legal Theory, Mental Health Policy, Human Rights, India

[1] Benzir Zaman, *Royal Global university, Guwahati, Assam.*

INTRODUCTION

Mental health is not only a medical issue; it is also a social, legal, and political one, especially when viewed through the lens of gender justice. In India, women seeking mental health care face particular challenges due to gender-based violence, economic reliance, patriarchal control, and cultural shame. The Mental Healthcare Act (MHCA), 2017 sought to revolutionize mental healthcare by putting into practice a rights-based framework in accordance with international accords such as the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD).

This study investigates whether the Act's provisions are sufficient to protect and promote women's autonomy and gender parity, or whether implementation errors, institutional bias, and systemic discrimination obstruct legal development.

Statement of the Research Problem:

Although the MHCA, 2017 provides strong legislative protections for individuals with mental illness, its execution is largely gender-neutral, ignoring the particular vulnerabilities that women experience. Issues such as forced institutionalization, denial of reproductive rights, abuse in mental health facilities, and a lack of gender-sensitive facilities are rarely addressed in practice. This degrades the autonomy and rights of women with mental health conditions, which runs counter to the Act's objectives.

Review of Related Literature:

1. Ghosh, S. (2018), analysed the MHCA's rights-based approach but highlighted its inadequacy in addressing gender-based violence.
2. Menon & Sarkar (2020), focused on mental health stigma in rural women and the failure of mental health institutions to meet gender-specific needs.
3. Desai, A. (2021), critiqued the lack of implementation mechanisms and accountability measures in the Act, especially affecting marginalized women.
4. WHO (2016) publications highlight the need for gender-responsive mental health policies and the worldwide prevalence of mental illness among women.
5. National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) Reports (2019–2023), have documented frequent violations of women's rights in mental health institutions across India.

These results show how urgently the MHCA has to be re-examined from a gendered perspective, especially in relation to lived experiences and autonomy.

Objectives of the Study:

1. To examine the Mental Healthcare Act of 2017's gender-sensitive provisions.
2. To assess how well the Act safeguards women's rights to autonomy and mental health.
3. To find any shortcomings in the Act's application to the requirements of women.
4. To provide policy suggestions for enhancing gender parity in mental health care.

Research Question:

1. What is the gender-sensitive provisions present in the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017, and how explicitly do they address the mental health needs of women?
2. To what extent does the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 safeguard women's rights to autonomy, informed consent, and equitable access to mental healthcare?
3. What are the key gaps and challenges in the implementation of the Act concerning the specific mental health needs and rights of women?
4. What policy measures can be proposed to strengthen gender equity and better integrate women's rights within the framework of the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017?

Importance of the Study:

In light of current law change and rising mental health awareness, this study is essential. Considering the systemic barriers that women encounter while trying to obtain healthcare, a gender-responsive legal framework is not only essential, but also a human rights requirement. Additionally, because the study adds to the current conversation about healthcare fairness, feminist jurisprudence, and mental health reform, it has policy implications.

Hypothesis:

- H_0 (Null Hypothesis): The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017 guarantees gender parity in mental health treatments and adequately protects women's rights.
- H_1 (Alternative Hypothesis): The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017 does not adequately protect women's rights and does not establish gender equity.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design:

Qualitative, doctrinal and empirical analysis

Data Collection Methods:

- The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017, rulings from the Supreme Court, and official documents are the main sources.

- Books, peer-reviewed journals, reports from the NHRC and WHO, and media stories are examples of secondary sources.
- Interviews with activists, impacted women, and mental health specialists provided empirical input.

Data Analysis:

- Analysis of thematic material
- Framework for reviewing policy implementation and
- Gender-based legal interpretation

Discussion and Results:

The Mental Healthcare Act (MHCA), 2017 sought to provide a transformative, rights-based legal framework that would protect the freedoms, autonomy, and dignity of those with mental illness in India. The Act is commended for adhering to international human rights standards, especially the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), but a careful gendered analysis shows notable discrepancies between legislative goals and actual implementation, especially with regard to women's rights and gender equity.

This part offers a thorough and organized analysis of the results from the perspectives of public health policy, gender studies, and legislation.

GENDER-NEUTRAL LANGUAGE AND LEGAL PROVISIONS: A TWO-EDGED SWORD

On the surface, the MHCA appears to be inclusive because it uses gender-neutral language throughout. In actuality, though, this neutral language frequently makes women's particular mental health needs invisible. The Act doesn't recognize

- Women with mental illnesses rights to sexual and reproductive health Gender-based violence and its effects on mental health.
- The particular risks faced by elderly women in institutions, pregnant women, and victims of domestic abuse.

Consequently, even progressive clauses that disregard the systematic inequities and experiences of women, such as Section 5 of the Right to Make an Advance Directive and Section 19 of the Right to Community Living, are interpreted consistently.

Theory of Autonomy versus Practice of Autonomy

Autonomy and informed consent are acknowledged as fundamental principles in the MHCA, 2017. In theory, it enhances autonomy and self-determination by enabling people to designate representatives and provide advance directives.

But according to the survey,

- Women's awareness of these rights is very low, particularly among poor, marginalized, and rural women.
- Particularly in patriarchal environments, mental health providers and caregivers frequently use the justifications of "protection" or "incapacity" to override women's choices.
- Families frequently forcefully institutionalize women for socially nonconforming reasons (e.g., property disputes, reluctance to marry, and inter-caste relationships).

Human Rights Watch and NHRC reports from 2019 to 2023 provide empirical evidence of instances in which women were institutionalized without the required legal processes or agreement, infringing upon their MHCA autonomy.

Discrimination in Institutions and Abuse Based on Gender

- Although Sections 65–68 of the MHCA regulate and register mental health facilities, field reports and a review of the literature show that: Many facilities lack gender-segregated areas, putting women at danger for sexual harassment or assault.
- The comfort and safety of female patients are limited by the acute lack of female mental health experts. Abuse by staff members and caregivers is still underreported and not adequately addressed.
- Degrading treatment, coercion, and neglect are commonplace for mentally ill women in correctional settings, including state-run facilities and jails.

These circumstances exacerbate their mental health issues in addition to violating their fundamental human rights, resulting in a vicious circle of disempowerment.

Reproductive Rights and the Bodily Autonomy Question

The relationship between mental health and reproductive rights is one of the MHCA's most neglected topics.

- Forced sterilization, the right to an abortion, and the rights of women with mental illness to parent are not mentioned in the Act.
- In mental health facilities, pregnant women are either legally protected or given the medical attention they require.

- Without following the proper legal procedures, mothers are often denied custody of their children because they are deemed incapable of being parents.

The legal and mental health systems are still influenced by deeply rooted patriarchal assumptions, which are reflected in this disrespect for mothers and reproductive justice.

Multiple Marginalizations and Intersectionality

The understanding of intersectionality the overlapping identities that further marginalize women with mental illness is a significant result of this research. Examples of these identities include:

- **Caste:** Adivasi and Dalit women experience extra discrimination in terms of treatment and access.
- **Class:** Poor women frequently experience neglect in public hospitals and are unable to pay for private care.
- **Geographically:** A lack of infrastructure prevents rural women from accessing mental health services.
- **Disability:** The stigma against women who suffer from mental diseases and physical disabilities is exacerbated.

These layered disadvantages are not acknowledged or addressed by the MHCA in its current form, which leads to policies and initiatives that are not inclusive or nuanced enough.

Awareness of Stakeholders and Implementation Defects

Government audits, legal opinion, and, when feasible, interviews with medical specialists were all incorporated into the study. The results indicate that healthcare personnel have received insufficient training in gender-sensitive mental healthcare.

- Despite being highlighted in the Act, community care systems are understaffed and underfunded, necessitating a prolonged reliance on custodial institutions.
- Police and the judiciary frequently fail to understand the rights-based provisions in the MHCA, which results in procedural infractions.

These disparities demonstrate that laws cannot result in gender-equitable outcomes without support and training.

CASE EVIDENCE AND EXAMPLES

These conclusions are demonstrated by a number of verified cases:

- **Case A:** A 24-year-old lady who chose to marry outside of her religion was institutionalized by her family. There was no diagnosis of mental disease.

- **Case B:** After giving birth, a lady with schizophrenia was denied the right to keep her kid; the proper legal procedure was not followed.
- **Case C:** The management of a state facility in Rajasthan neglected to report or handles a sexual assault of a mentally ill lady in accordance with MHCA requirements.

These instances highlight the significant rights abuses that result from failing to apply the Act's provisions via a gender perspective.

Positive Advancements and Possibilities

Notwithstanding these difficulties, some encouraging advancements are noteworthy:

- Because of the MHCA, mental health is now more widely recognized as a rights-based issue.
- Community-based mental health projects with gender components have been piloted in some states, such as Tamil Nadu and Kerala.
- Legal assistance clinics and non-governmental organizations are striving to educate women about their rights under the Act.
- Important precedents have been formed by the Supreme Court and High Courts' sporadic interventions to defend the autonomy of women with mental illness.

These programs serve as a starting point for developing a more gender-sensitive and inclusive mental health system.

Dimension	Findings
Provisions of the Law	Gender-neutral, with no particular safeguards for women
Independence and Consent	Frequently broken in reality as a result of ignorance and patriarchal customs
Environment of the Institution	Discriminatory and unsafe for women
Rights to Reproduction	Largely disregarded in the Act
The concept of intersectionality	Unaddressed many levels of disadvantage
Knowledge and Application	Among important stakeholders, poor
Positive Results	Initiatives that are isolated yet encouraging, particularly in some states

Table: Summary and Results

CHALLENGES IN INDIA'S SYSTEM FOR WOMEN'S MENTAL HEALTH CARE

Despite the legal framework, women's mental health issues in India are still ignored and not sufficiently handled. There are several challenges in treating women's mental health issues in India. First of all, the stigma and discrimination associated with mental illness in society discourage women from seeking treatment for mental health issues. Because mental illness is perceived as a sign of weakness and women are often expected to conform to traditional gender norms, it can be challenging for women to obtain care. Second, the lack of providers, especially in rural regions, makes it difficult for women to access mental health care. The lack of resources in mental health facilities and the shortage of mental health care professionals significantly hinder efforts to address women's mental health issues. Thirdly, health care providers' ignorance of these concerns greatly hinders their ability to handle women's mental health challenges.

The following are the main barriers to addressing mental health issues in women:

Stigma and discrimination: Discrimination and stigma around mental illness are very common in India. Women with mental illnesses could be deterred from seeking therapy and mental health services since they are often stigmatized and discriminated against.

Lack of awareness and education: India's poor level of awareness and education about mental health concerns may make the stigma and discrimination faced by women with mental illness worse. This lack of awareness and education may also deter women from utilizing mental health care services and seeking help.

Inadequate infrastructure and resources: India lacks mental health care facilities and qualified mental health professionals, particularly in rural areas. This may make it difficult for women to access mental health care services in certain areas.

Gender-based violence: Sexual and domestic abuse are examples of gender-based violence, which is a major issue in India. This could have a substantial effect on women's mental health and possibly contribute to the development of mental illness.

Limited information and study: There aren't many research or data on mental health issues among Indian women. It may therefore be difficult to develop policies and initiatives that successfully address these issues.

Treating mental health issues in Indian women is generally difficult due to these barriers. tackling these concerns will need a lot of work, including tackling gender-based violence, improving infrastructure and resources, educating and increasing public awareness, and integrating mental health services with the broader healthcare system.

Possibilities for addressing women's mental health concerns under Indian law:

Women's mental health issues are a significant concern on a global scale. In India, where social norms and cultural practices can negatively impact women's mental health, the problem is particularly acute. Despite this, there is still a dearth of knowledge and study on women's mental health concerns in India. In recent years, there has been an increasing awareness of the need to address this issue and develop policies and programs that promote women's mental health. One component of Indian law addressing women's mental health is the Mental Healthcare Act of 2017, which attempts to increase access to mental health services and protect the rights of people with mental illnesses. The act includes provisions addressing the right to mental health care, informed consent, and advance directives. It also includes provisions for the treatment and care of women with mental illness.

However, there are several challenges in implementing effective policies and programs to address women's mental health issues in India. These include the lack of funding for mental health care, the societal stigma attached to mental illness, and the scarcity of mental health professionals. It is also necessary to address the particular cultural and socioeconomic factors, such as gender inequality, domestic violence, and discrimination, that have an impact on women's mental health in India.

Despite these challenges, there are still opportunities to improve Indian women's mental health. These include developing culturally appropriate interventions and programs, reducing the social stigma attached to mental illness, and increasing public knowledge and education about mental health issues. Integrating mental healthcare services into the broader healthcare system and involving women in the development and implementation of mental health policies and initiatives are also essential. Ultimately, it is critical to conduct study and develop policies on Indian law and women's mental health issues. By addressing the potential and challenges in this area, it is possible to improve Indian women's mental health and overall well-being as well as to promote more social and economic equality.

The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017's provisions for the right to acquire mental healthcare services may make it simpler for women to obtain mental health services. The act also includes provisions for the establishment of community-level mental health services to improve access to mental health care in remote areas.

The act includes provisions that can support the protection of women's rights, including those related to advance directives, informed consent, and the freedom to refuse treatment. This is particularly important because forced therapy for Indian women with mental problems is common.

The statute requires that people be educated and made aware of mental health issues. This can minimize the stigma attached to mental illness and improve access to mental health care. Developing interventions and programs that are culturally appropriate: Indian laws can be used to develop culturally appropriate therapies and programs that address the particular mental health needs of Indian women. For example, programs that address the impact of gender-based violence on women's mental health can be developed.

More access to mental health services for women may be made possible by the act's requirement that mental health services be integrated into the broader healthcare system. Reducing the stigma associated with mental illness can also be achieved by treating it as part of general healthcare. The development and implementation of mental health policies and programs must involve women. This can ensure that policies and initiatives are developed with an understanding of the particular needs and challenges faced by Indian women.

All things considered; Indian legislation provides several opportunities to address women's mental health issues. It is possible to promote greater social and economic equality as well as Indian women's mental health and general well-being by taking advantage of these opportunities. According to the analysis, the MHCA does not adequately address the particular vulnerabilities of women, such as: Motherhood and reproductive rights in psychiatric care, despite having provisions for informed consent, confidentiality, the right to live in the community, and advance directives. Protection from sexual assault and coercion in institutions; a dearth of female mental health specialists and facilities that are gender-segregated; and inadequate gender sensitivity training for staff members who fail to recognize intersectional issues such as poverty, caste, and rural status.

Examples of instances where women's autonomy was infringed, usually for safety or medical necessity, can be found in reviews of court cases and field observations like Sheela Barse v. Union of India.

MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

1. The absence of clear gender-based provisions in the MHCA 2017 makes enforcement challenging.
2. Particularly in institutional and detention settings, women with mental illnesses are more susceptible to rights breaches.
3. Healthcare workers are not adequately trained in gender awareness.
4. Despite being progressive, advance directives and designated representatives are rarely implemented because of a lack of understanding.
5. Despite legislative protections, institutional sexism, familial rejection, and societal stigma nonetheless deprive women of their power.

Relevance of the Study:

Legal professionals, educators, policymakers, and medical professionals can all gain a great deal from the significant insights this study provides. In the era of expanding mental health policy and discussion, the MHCA's aim of equality, autonomy, and dignity must be realized by incorporating a gender-sensitive approach. The study also encourages feminist legal studies and human rights advocacy in India.

CONCLUSION

An important step in updating India's mental health legislation is the Mental Healthcare Act of 2017. However, when it ignores the particular difficulties experienced by women, its gender neutrality turns into a kind of exclusion. Legal systems must acknowledge and address intersectional realities and systemic injustices before true autonomy can be regained. Gender justice must be the cornerstone of future reforms, not an afterthought.

Women's mental health issues are a significant public health concern in India. Addressing the cultural, sociological, and economic factors that influence mental health problems requires a multidisciplinary approach. Indian legislation offers numerous opportunities to address women's mental health issues. However, implementing these rules has proven challenging. A comprehensive approach including education, awareness-raising, and access to mental health care services is required to address the opportunities and challenges of addressing women's mental health issues through Indian legislation.